<sup>9</sup>M. Lax, Phys. Rev. <u>145</u>, 110 (1966).

<sup>10</sup>I. R. Senitzky, Phys. Rev. 137, A1635 (1965).

11W. H. Louisell and L. R. Walker, Phys. Rev. 137, B204 (1965).

<sup>12</sup>R. H. Dicke, Phys. Rev. <u>93</u>, 99 (1954).

<sup>13</sup>V. F. Sears, Proc. Phys. Soc. (London) 84, 951 (1964).

<sup>14</sup>R. M. Sillitto, Non-Relativistic Quantum Mechanics (Quadrangle Books, Chicago, 1960).

<sup>15</sup>I. Waller, R. de L. Kronig, and J. H. Van Vleck, in Spin-Lattice Relaxation in Ionic Solids, edited by A. A. Manenkov and R. Orbach (Harper and Row, New York, 1966).

<sup>16</sup>N. W. Dalton and D. W. Wood, Solid State Commun.

 $\underline{7},\ 1271$  (1969).  $^{17}\mathrm{R}.\ \mathrm{J}.\ \mathrm{Elliott}$  and J. B. Parkinson, Proc. Phys. Soc. (London) 92, 1024 (1967).

<sup>18</sup>E. R. Muller and J. W. Tucker, Proc. Phys. Soc. (London) 88, 693 (1966).

<sup>19</sup>K. W. H. Stevens and H. A. M. Van Eekelen, Proc. Phys. Soc. (London) 92, 680 (1967).

<sup>20</sup>D. L. Mills, Phys. Rev. <u>139</u>, A1640 (1965).

<sup>21</sup>P. L. Scott and C. D. Jeffries, Phys. Rev. <u>127</u>, 32

<sup>22</sup>C. E. Johnson and H. Meyer, Proc. Roy. Soc. (London) A253, 199 (1959).

<sup>23</sup>J. Rae, Bull. Classe Sci. Acad. Roy. Belg. 55, 1040 (1969).

PHYSICAL REVIEW B

VOLUME 4, NUMBER 5

1 SEPTEMBER 1971

## "Overlap" Contributions to the Electric-Field-Gradient Components at the Fe<sup>3+</sup> Site in FeOCl

D. Sengupta and J. O. Artman

Department of Physics, Carnegie-Mellon University, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213

## and

## G. A. Sawatzky

Solid State Physics Laboratory, University of Groningen, The Netherlands (Received 22 February 1971)

Using the simple Sawatzky model, we have made an evaluation of the "overlap" contributions to the electric-field-gradient (EFG) components at the non-axially-symmetric Fe<sup>3+</sup> site in FeOCl. The modifications to the EFG components calculated previously by lattice-sum methods are considerable. For  $O^2$  and  $Cl^-$  polarizibility  $\alpha$  values of 1.0  ${\rm \AA}^3$ , it was possible both to match the experimental asymmetry parameter  $\eta$  value of 0.32 and to get a  $Q(Fe^{57m})$  value of 0.19 b, close to the ferrous consensus.

Recently there appeared a determination of the Fe<sup>57m</sup> nuclear-quadrupole coupling parameters pertinent to the non-axially-symmetric Fe3+ site in FeOCl. 1 Unfortunately, the fit of a self-consistent monopole-point-dipole lattice-sum electric-fieldgradient (EFG) calculation to these data was not very satisfactory. The dipole contributions to the EFG, for  $O^2$  and Cl polarizibilities  $\alpha$  varying over the range 1-3 Å<sup>3</sup>, were at times comparable to the monopole sums (cation polarizibility was neglected). The only way that the EFG asymmetry parameter  $\eta$ could be properly fitted within the  $\alpha$  range used was to set  $\alpha_0 = \alpha_{C1} = 1$ . However, this led to a calculated  $Q(Fe^{57m})$  of 0.33 b, which, in the light of recent analyses, 2 is probably much too large. We present in this paper an evaluation, in this system, of the EFG contributions due to the overlap distortion of the Fe<sup>3+</sup> closed-shell orbitals by the ligands. Such calculations have recently been made in \alpha-Al2O3 and  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> by Sawatzky and associates<sup>3</sup> and by Sharma. 4 From their analyses in sapphire-type geometries, these authors have obtained values for  $Q(Al^{27})$  and  $Q(Fe^{57m})$  which agree very well with other data. We use the more simple Sawatzky formulation here.

Clearly, the non-axially-symmetric Fe3+ site in FeOCl provides a more searching test of theoretical EFG calculations than the symmetric Fe<sup>3+</sup>site in  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. Also, unlike  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, the lattice-sum calculations in FeOCl are relatively insensitive to variations in crystallographic parameters. 1 However, as noted above, anion polarizibility enters relatively prominently here. Before proceeding further, we summarize the FeOCl Mössbauer-effect results. The quadrupole splitting  $\Delta E_{Q}$  was found to be 0.916  $\pm$  0.001 mm/sec; the  $\eta$  value was  $0.32 \pm 0.03$ ; and the x, y, z principal axes of the EFG were parallel to the crystallographic c, b, aaxes, respectively (c < a < b) with  $V_{zz}$  negative.

In this system, Fe<sup>3+</sup> is octahedrally coordinated. having as nearest neighbors two oxygen ions at 1.964 Å, another two oxygens at 2.100 Å, and two chlorines at 2.368 Å. In calculating the overlap integrals, we have noted the arguments of Sawatzky and associates in assessing the relative magnitudes of various contributions to the overlap. Therefore, we consider here the overlap of the  $Fe^{3+}$  2p and 3p orbitals with (i) the oxygen 2p orbitals and (ii) the chlorine 3p orbitals. The basic equation for the EFG contribution  $(V'_{zz})_k$  from each set k of 2

IABLE I. Overlap integrals and geometrical parameters for the  $({
m FeO}_4{
m Cl}_2)^{5-}$  complex in FeOCI.  $^{
m a}$ 

distance (Å)	$S_{3p}^q$	$S_{3p}^{\bullet}$	S.S.	% ₹	$S_{2p}^{\bullet}$	$S_{2oldsymbol{ ho}}^{oldsymbol{s}}$	$\theta$ so $\theta$	$\sin  heta$	φsoo	$\phi$ uis
$(Fe-O)_1 = 1.964$	7.8230	2.6524	3.5892	0.45626	0.14600	0.16399	-0.2716	0.9624	0	±1.0
$(Fe-O)_2 = 2.100$	6.3887	2,0101	2.5414	0.36342	0,10940	0.11364	-0.6182	0.7860	±1.0	0
(Fe-CI) = 2.368	5.3002	1,5560	2.2949	0.29248	0.08049	0.10060	0.7168	0.6973	±1.0	0

ligands is [see Eq. (4), Ref. 3(b)]

$$(V'_{zz})_{k} = -\frac{8}{5}e \left(3\cos^{2}\theta_{k} - 1\right) \left[ \left(S_{3p}^{k}\right)^{2} \left\langle 1/r^{3} \right\rangle_{3p} + \left(S_{2p}^{k}\right)^{2} \left\langle 1/r^{3} \right\rangle_{2p} + 2S_{2p}^{k} S_{3p}^{k} \left\langle 1/r^{3} \right\rangle_{2p,3p} \right], \tag{1}$$

where the 2p and 3p designations refer to the Fe<sup>3+</sup> wave functions. The single-electron overlap coefficients  $S_{np}^k$  are compounded as follows:

$$S_{np}^{k} S_{mp}^{k} = S_{np}^{\sigma_{k}} S_{mp}^{\sigma_{k}} - S_{np}^{\pi_{k}} S_{mp}^{\pi_{k}} + S_{np}^{s_{k}} S_{mp}^{s_{k}}$$
.

The  $V'_{xx}$  and  $V'_{yy}$  contributions follow from Eq. (1) merely by replacing the  $3\cos^2\theta_k - 1$  term with  $3\sin^2\theta_k\cos^2\phi_k - 1$  and  $3\sin^2\theta_k\sin^2\phi_k - 1$ , respectively. These angles  $(\theta_k, \varphi_k)$  specify in the usual way the angular orientation of the ligands with respect to our coordinate system. The 3b wave function for Cl was obtained using the Herman-Skillman computer routine5; the O2- and Fe3+ wave functions were obtained from Watson's paper and report, respectively. The values of  $\langle r^{-3} \rangle$  for the Fe 3p, Fe 2p, and Fe 3p, 2p wave function combinations were 56.17, 461.96, and -153.13 a.u., respectively. The S-type overlap integrals were evaluated by the methods of Mulliken<sup>8</sup> and his associates. Additional overlap calculations were made by one of us (G. A. S.) using wave functions from various sources. The numerics entering in Eq. (1) are listed in Table I.

The composite EFG then follows as

$$V_{ii} = (1 - R) \left(V'_{ii}\right)_{\text{overlap}} + (1 - \gamma_{\infty}) \left(V'_{ii}\right)_{\text{lattice sum}}. \tag{2}$$

We take the R and  $\gamma_{\infty}$  shielding factors as 0.32  $^9$  and -9.14,  $^{10}$  respectively. In Table II we list, for several different  $O^{2-}$  and  $C1^ \alpha$  values, the dipole moment values and the  $V_{ii}$  sums. For each combination of  $\alpha$ 's we list the  $V_{ii}$  sums with (below) and without (above) the overlap contributions. For each case, we have computed  $\eta$ ; using this  $\eta$  and the calculated  $V_{zz}$  value, we have computed  $Q(Fe^{57m})$  from the experimental  $\Delta E_Q$  result. A negative value of  $\eta$  in Table II indicates that the calculated x and y EFG axes are interchanged from the experimental ordering.

The apparently good Q fit of the zero- $\alpha$  latticesum data is untenable because of the reversal of axes reflected in the sign of  $\eta$ . The lattice-sum data for the case  $\alpha_{\rm O}=\alpha_{\rm Cl}=1$  ų, as mentioned earlier, give an "exact" fit to  $\eta$ , and a Q of 0.33 b. Inclusion of overlap contributions seems to depress the calculated Q and  $\eta$  values for most of the entries in Table II. In the case  $\alpha_{\rm O}=\alpha_{\rm Cl}=1$  ų, we find an  $\eta$  of 0.31 and a Q of 0.19 b. This provides an excellent match for the experimental  $\eta$  value and for the current  $Q({\rm Fe}^{57m})$  consensus.

We note that Sharma's overlap formulation<sup>4</sup> includes EFG contributions from the *ligand* valence orbitals. In his analysis, the nearest-neighbor

TABLE II. Evaluation of EFG components,  $\eta$ , and  $Q(Fe^{57m})$ .

$\alpha_0^a$	$\alpha_{\mathtt{C1}}^{\mathtt{a}}$	po <sup>b</sup>	p <sub>C1</sub> <sup>b</sup>	V <sub>ii</sub> c	ηd	Q °
0	0	0	0	$ \begin{array}{c} -3.07 \\ 0.91 \\ 2.16 \end{array} $	-0.41	0.19
				$egin{array}{c} -4.40 \\ 1.78 \\ 2.62 \end{pmatrix}$	-0.19	0.14
1	1	0.159	0.361	$egin{array}{c} -1.81 \\ 1.19 \\ 0.62 \end{pmatrix}$	0.32	0.33
				$ \begin{array}{c} -3.14 \\ 2.06 \\ 1.08 \end{array} $	0.31	0.19
1.5	1	0.248	0.356	$\left. \begin{array}{c} -1.31 \\ 1.13 \\ 0.18 \end{array} \right\}$	0.73	0.43
				$ \begin{array}{c} -2.64 \\ 2.00 \\ 0.64 \end{array} $	0.52	0.22
2	1	0.346	0.350	$\left. egin{array}{c} -0.75 \\ 1.06 \\ -0.31 \end{array} \right\}$	•••	•••
•				$\left. \begin{array}{c} -2.08 \\ 1.93 \\ 0.15 \end{array} \right\}$	0.86	0.26

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>The units used for  $\alpha$  are Å<sup>3</sup>.

dipoles then would be subtracted from the latticesum dipole EFG computation. (The point charges on the nearest neighbors are corrected similarly.) This subtraction would remove almost all of the oxygen dipole contribution and reduce the chlorine dipole contribution considerably. It will be interesting to see what might ensue from a more sophisticated version of this model.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>The units used for p are Å.

<sup>°</sup>The units used for  $V_{ii}$  are Å-3. The  $V_{ii}$  are ordered as i=a,b,c. For each set of 2 values, the upper three

 $V_{ti}$  represent the lattice-sum results. The lower three contain the overlap contributions as well.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup>We define  $\eta$  as  $(V_{cc} - V_{bb})/V_{aa}$ .

The units used for Q are b.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>R. W. Grant, H. Wiedersich, R. M. Housley, G. P. Espinosa, and J. O. Artman, Phys. Rev. B <u>3</u>, 678 (1971).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>R. Ingalls, Phys. Rev. <u>188</u>, 1045 (1969); J. Chappert, R. B. Frankel, A. Misetich, and N. A. Blum, Phys. Letters <u>28B</u>, 406 (1969); Phys. Rev. <u>179</u>, 578 (1969); H. R. Leider and D. N. Pipkorn, *ibid*. <u>165</u>, 494 (1968); R. M. Housley and U. Gonser, *ibid*. <u>171</u>, 480 (1968); A. J. Nozik and M. Kaplan, *ibid*. <u>159</u>, 273 (1967); C. E. Johnson, Proc. Phys. Soc. (London) <u>92</u>, 748 (1967); F. S. Ham, Phys. Rev. <u>160</u>, 328 (1967); M. Weissbluth and J. E. Malling, J. Chem. Phys. <u>47</u>, 4166 (1967). These are all ferrous data.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>(a) G. A. Sawatzky and J. Hupkes, Phys. Rev. Let-

ters <u>25</u>, 100 (1970); (b) G. A. Sawatzky, F. van der Woude, and J. Hupkes, J. Phys. (Paris) (to be published).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>R. R. Sharma, Phys. Rev. Letters <u>25</u>, 1622 (1970); <u>26</u>, 563 (1971).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>These computations were made using a program furnished by the IBM Library.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>R. E. Watson, Phys. Rev. 111, 1108 (1958).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>R. E. Watson, MIT Solid State and Molecular Theory Group Report No. 12, 1959 (unpublished).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>R. S. Mulliken, C. A. Reike, D. Orloff, and H. Orloff, J. Chem. Phys. <u>17</u>, 1248 (1949).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>R. Ingalls, Phys. Rev. <u>133</u>, A787 (1964).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>R. M. Sternheimer, Phys. Rev. <u>130</u>, 1423 (1963).